

Free Executive Summary

Inspired by Biology: From Molecules to Materials to Machines

Committee on Biomolecular Materials and Processes,
National Research Council

ISBN: 978-0-309-11704-3, 152 pages, 7 x 10, paperback (2008)



This free executive summary is provided by the National Academies as part of our mission to educate the world on issues of science, engineering, and health. If you are interested in reading the full book, please visit us online at <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/12159.html>. You may browse and search the full, authoritative version for free; you may also purchase a print or electronic version of the book. If you have questions or just want more information about the books published by the National Academies Press, please contact our customer service department toll-free at 888-624-8373.

This executive summary plus thousands more available at www.nap.edu.

Copyright © National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved. Unless otherwise indicated, all materials in this PDF file are copyrighted by the National Academy of Sciences. Distribution or copying is strictly prohibited without permission of the National Academies Press <http://www.nap.edu/permissions/>. Permission is granted for this material to be posted on a secure password-protected Web site. The content may not be posted on a public Web site.

Executive Summary

389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438

The ability of biological systems to carry out extremely complex functions in a vast array of environments has long inspired scientists to create synthetic systems that function with similar precision and efficiency. A lack of understanding of how biological systems function has hampered the ability to make such materials and devices. Scientists are using an expanding toolbox of new ways to measure, manipulate, and compute properties of matter, living and nonliving. These efforts are beginning to uncover the principles that govern how biological systems work. Application of the principles uncovered by these investigations will allow scientists to create synthetic materials, processes, and devices that can carry out tasks with the precision of biological systems. As demonstrated by the opportunities and examples presented in this report, now is a very exciting time for research at the intersection of the biological and materials sciences.

Practical design of biologically inspired materials has the potential to improve the well-being of U.S. citizens and the nation's economic competitiveness by addressing some of the most urgent national challenges. Biomolecular materials and processes may improve medical therapeutics, allow the creation of reliable sensors to detect biological and chemical threats, and facilitate the path to energy independence. To realize these opportunities and fully harness the potential of biology to inform the development of materials and processes, further advances in fundamental physics, chemistry, and material science will be required. Three closely related strategies for the creation of new materials and systems may help realize the potential of biomolecular materials and processes:

Biomimicry. This strategy relies on first learning the mechanistic principle used by a living system to achieve a particular function. Then one attempts to copy the same scheme to achieve similar function in a synthetic material. One example is the design of materials where the building blocks themselves encode information during their synthesis. One can also try to create materials that mimic whole cells in responding to external stimuli. Such materials could be used in devices for detecting hazardous biological and chemical agents.

Bioinspiration. Merely knowing that a task can be achieved by a living system can inspire scientists to develop a synthetic system that performs the same function, even if the synthetic system uses a scheme quite different from that employed by the biological system. Nature provides examples of functional systems with exceptional materials properties and performance that would be useful to replicate for technological applications. Examples include the adhesive gecko's foot, self-cleaning lotus leaf, and fracture-resistant mollusk shell, which have fueled recent interest in "smart" biological materials. Yet attempts to create synthetic analogs have largely been unsuccessful, in part because the fundamental understanding of the biological systems is often limited.

Bioderivation. This strategy involves using an existing biomaterial in concert with artificial materials to create a hybrid. A prominent example is the incorporation of biologically derived proteins into polymeric assemblies for targeted drug delivery.

Progress in these areas will be facilitated by a number of actions and investment by research agencies, the scientific community, and other stakeholders. In particular, the following steps will help confront the scientific challenges associated with these strategies and to translate the resulting knowledge into societal and economic value:

- The synergistic application of approaches traditionally confined to distinct disciplines will be imperative. While such concerted efforts are already emerging in individual circumstances, substantial *interagency cooperation in support of such interdisciplinary research and development efforts will be needed.*

439
440 **Recommendation 1: DOE, NIH, NSF, and other relevant agencies should develop jointly**
441 **sponsored multidisciplinary programs of innovative research at the crossroads of**
442 **disciplines. New initiatives of this type will provide incentives for universities to break down**
443 **and work across traditional departmental boundaries. OSTP should take the lead in**
444 **coordinating this effort.**

- 445
446 • Fundamental physical, chemical, and biological scientists need to work together with engineers
447 to create new biomaterials and technologies. *Education of scientists and engineers that can work*
448 *at the intersection of these fields is crucial.*
449

450 **Recommendation 2: University physics, chemistry, biology, materials science, mathematics,**
451 **and engineering departments and medical schools should cooperatively examine their**
452 **curricula to identify ways to prepare scientists and engineers for research at the intersection**
453 **of the physical sciences, engineering, and the life sciences. These educational programs**
454 **should also be evaluated, incorporating a wide range of viewpoints, including input from**
455 **leaders in industry and national laboratories.**

- 456
457 • Communication between scientists and engineers from different disciplines is hampered by
458 difficulties in understanding methods, concepts, and jargon. *Mechanisms for facilitating*
459 *communication across and between disciplines are essential.*
460

461 **Recommendation 3: DOE, NIH, NSF, and other relevant agencies should support the**
462 **development of one- or two-week summer courses to train physical scientists and engineers**
463 **in the tools and concepts of biology and medicine and conversely to train biologists in the**
464 **tools and concepts of the physical sciences. Special attention should be given to developing**
465 **ways of communicating fundamental physico-chemical concepts to biologists while using**
466 **mathematical knowledge common to that community. Summer courses focused on these**
467 **areas would help bridge the physical and life sciences communities to exploit research**
468 **opportunities at the intersection of the fields.**

- 469
470 • Fundamental research is necessary to realize the applications envisaged in this report and could
471 lead to yet-unimagined technological applications, but translation of new discoveries into useful
472 products is also crucial. Thus, *both fundamental and translational research should be*
473 *emphasized.*
474

475 **Recommendation 4: DOE, NIH, NSF, and other relevant agencies should collaborate to**
476 **build bridges from the most fundamental research to commercial applications. While it is**
477 **imperative to recognize and exploit the connections between fundamental advances and**
478 **opportunities to translate the advances into practice, curiosity-driven fundamental research**
479 **on outstanding unsolved questions should be encouraged as it could lead to currently**
480 **unforeseen technological advances.**

- 481
482 • It is difficult for a single laboratory to house the diverse instrumentation and expertise required
483 for interdisciplinary research in biomolecular materials and processes. Standard equipment in
484 biology laboratories, for example, is usually not found in engineering laboratories and vice versa.
485 Further, many researchers do not have access to shared or private facilities containing such
486 equipment and instrumentation. *National facilities that house clusters of small to mid-range*
487 *instrumentation and associated expertise are important for fostering interdisciplinary research in*
488 *biomolecular materials and processes.*

489
490
491
492
493
494

Recommendation 5: DOE should continue to evaluate the effectiveness of recently created facilities to provide access to mid-range instrumentation and computational facilities for the advancement of interdisciplinary research in nanoscience and technology. Analogous, but distinct, centers could be created to facilitate research in biomolecular materials and processes.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

*Inspired by Biology:
From Molecules to Materials to Machines*

Committee on Biomolecular Materials and Processes
Board on Physics and Astronomy
Board on Life Sciences
Division on Engineering and Physical Sciences
Division on Earth and Life Studies
NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL
OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS
Washington, D.C.
www.nap.edu

44 **THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES PRESS 500 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001**

45

46 NOTICE: The project that is the subject of this report was approved by the Governing Board of the
47 National Research Council, whose members are drawn from the councils of the National Academy of
48 Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering, and the Institute of Medicine. The members of the
49 committee responsible for the report were chosen for their special competences and with regard for
50 appropriate balance.

51

52 This study is based on work supported by Contract No. DE-FG02-05ER46197 between the National
53 Academy of Sciences and the Department of Energy and Grant No. DMR-0426181 between the National
54 Academy of Sciences and the National Science Foundation. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or
55 recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the
56 views of the agency that provided support for the project.

57

58 International Standard Book Number 0-309-XXXXX-X

59

60 Additional copies of this report are available from the National Academies Press, 500 Fifth Street, N.W.,
61 Lockbox 285, Washington, DC 20055; (800) 624-6242 or (202) 334-3313 (in the Washington
62 metropolitan area); Internet, <http://www.nap.edu>; and the Board on Physics and Astronomy, National
63 Research Council, 500 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20001; Internet, [http://www.national-](http://www.national-academies.org/bpa)
64 [academies.org/bpa](http://www.national-academies.org/bpa).

65

66 Copyright 2008 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

67

68 Printed in the United States of America

THE NATIONAL ACADEMIES

Advisers to the Nation on Science, Engineering, and Medicine

69
70

71 The **National Academy of Sciences** is a private, nonprofit, self-perpetuating society of distinguished
72 scholars engaged in scientific and engineering research, dedicated to the furtherance of science and
73 technology and to their use for the general welfare. Upon the authority of the charter granted to it by the
74 Congress in 1863, the Academy has a mandate that requires it to advise the federal government on
75 scientific and technical matters. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone is president of the National Academy of Sciences.

76
77 The **National Academy of Engineering** was established in 1964, under the charter of the National
78 Academy of Sciences, as a parallel organization of outstanding engineers. It is autonomous in its
79 administration and in the selection of its members, sharing with the National Academy of Sciences the
80 responsibility for advising the federal government. The National Academy of Engineering also sponsors
81 engineering programs aimed at meeting national needs, encourages education and research, and
82 recognizes the superior achievements of engineers. Dr. Charles M. Vest is president of the National
83 Academy of Engineering.

84
85 The **Institute of Medicine** was established in 1970 by the National Academy of Sciences to secure the
86 services of eminent members of appropriate professions in the examination of policy matters pertaining to
87 the health of the public. The Institute acts under the responsibility given to the National Academy of
88 Sciences by its congressional charter to be an adviser to the federal government and, upon its own
89 initiative, to identify issues of medical care, research, and education. Dr. Harvey V. Fineberg is president
90 of the Institute of Medicine.

91
92 The **National Research Council** was organized by the National Academy of Sciences in 1916 to
93 associate the broad community of science and technology with the Academy's purposes of furthering
94 knowledge and advising the federal government. Functioning in accordance with general policies
95 determined by the Academy, the Council has become the principal operating agency of both the National
96 Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering in providing services to the government,
97 the public, and the scientific and engineering communities. The Council is administered jointly by both
98 Academies and the Institute of Medicine. Dr. Ralph J. Cicerone and Dr. Charles M. Vest are chair and
99 vice chair, respectively, of the National Research Council.

100
101
102

www.national-academies.org

103 **COMMITTEE ON BIOMOLECULAR MATERIALS AND PROCESSES**

104

105

106 ARUP K. CHAKRABORTY, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, *Chair*

107 JOANNA AIZENBERG, Harvard University

108 ANNE E. BARRON, Stanford University

109 KEN A. DILL, University of California at San Francisco

110 SHARON C. GLOTZER, University of Michigan

111 YALE E. GOLDMAN, University of Pennsylvania

112 ELIAS GREENBAUM, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

113 W. JOHN KAO, University of Wisconsin at Madison

114 DAVID NEEDHAM, Duke University

115 V. ADRIAN PARSEGHIAN, National Institutes of Health

116 ALAN RUDOLPH, Adlyfe Inc.

117 CYRUS R. SAFINYA, University of California at Santa Barbara

118 CHARLES F. STEVENS, Salk Institute for Biological Studies

119 DAVID A. WEITZ, Harvard University

120

121

122 *Staff*

123

124 DONALD C. SHAPERO, Director, Board on Physics and Astronomy

125 FRANCES E. SHARPLES, Director, Board on Life Sciences

126 ADAM P. FAGEN, Senior Program Officer

127 NATALIA J. MELCER, Program Officer

128 BETH MASIMORE, Christine Mirzayan Science and Technology Policy Graduate Fellow

129 CARYN J. KNUTSEN, Senior Program Assistant

130 PHILLIP D. LONG, Senior Program Assistant (until August 2006)

131 VAN AN, Financial Associate

132 **SOLID STATE SCIENCES COMMITTEE**

133

134 PETER F. GREEN, University of Michigan, *Chair*

135 BARBARA JONES, IBM Almaden Research Center, *Vice-chair*

136 DANIEL P. AROVAS, University of California at San Diego

137 COLLIN L. BROHOLM, Johns Hopkins University

138 PAUL M. CHAIKIN, New York University

139 GEORGE W. CRABTREE, Argonne National Laboratory

140 ELBIO DAGOTTO, University of Tennessee and Oak Ridge National Laboratory

141 DUANE DIMOS, Sandia National Laboratories

142 SIDNEY R. NAGEL, University of Chicago

143 MONICA OLVERA DE LA CRUZ, Northwestern University

144 ARTHUR P. RAMIREZ, Alcatel-Lucent

145 MARK D. STILES, National Institute of Standards and Technology

146 ANTOINETTE TAYLOR, Los Alamos National Laboratory

147 DALE J. VAN HARLINGEN, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

148 FRED WUDL, University of California at Santa Barbara

149

150

151 *Staff*

152

153 DONALD C. SHAPERO, Director, Board on Physics and Astronomy

154 NATALIA J. MELCER, Program Officer

155 MERCEDES M. ILAGAN, Administrative Assistant

156 VAN AN, Financial Associate

157 **BOARD ON PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY**

- 158
159 ANNEILA I. SARGENT, California Institute of Technology, *Chair*
160 MARC A. KASTNER, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, *Vice-chair*
161 JOANNA AIZENBERG, Harvard University
162 JONATHAN A. BAGGER, Johns Hopkins University
163 JAMES E. BRAU, University of Oregon
164 PHILIP H. BUCKSBAUM, Stanford University
165 ADAM S. BURROWS, University of Arizona
166 PATRICK L. COLESTOCK, Los Alamos National Laboratory
167 RONALD C. DAVIDSON, Princeton University
168 ANDREA M. GHEZ, University of California at Los Angeles
169 PETER F. GREEN, University of Michigan
170 LAURA H. GREENE, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
171 WICK C. HAXTON, University of Washington
172 JOSEPH HEZIR, EOP Group, Inc.
173 ALLAN H. MacDONALD, University of Texas at Austin
174 HOMER A. NEAL, University of Michigan
175 JOSE N. ONUCHIC, University of California at San Diego
176 WILLIAM D. PHILLIPS, National Institute of Standards and Technology
177 CHARLES E. SHANK, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
178 THOMAS N. THEIS, IBM T.J. Watson Research Center
179 MICHAEL S. TURNER, University of Chicago
180 C. MEGAN URRY, Yale University

181
182
183 *Staff*

- 184
185 DONALD C. SHAPERO, Director
186 MICHAEL H. MOLONEY, Senior Program Officer
187 ROBERT L. RIEMER, Senior Program Officer
188 NATALIA J. MELCER, Program Officer
189 DAVID B. LANG, Associate Program Officer
190 CARYN J. KNUTSEN, Senior Program Assistant
191 MERCEDES M. ILAGAN, Administrative Assistant
192 VAN AN, Financial Associate

193	
194	
195	KEITH YAMAMOTO, University of California at San Francisco, <i>Chair</i>
196	ANN M. ARVIN, Stanford University School of Medicine
197	RUTH BERKELMAN, Emory University
198	DEBORAH BLUM, University of Wisconsin at Madison
199	VICKI L. CHANDLER, University of Arizona
200	JEFFERY L. DANGL, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
201	PAUL R. EHRLICH, Stanford University
202	MARK D. FITZSIMMONS, John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation
203	JO HANDELSMAN, University of Wisconsin at Madison
204	KENNETH H. KELLER, Johns Hopkins University School of Advanced International Studies, Bologna,
205	Italy
206	JONATHAN D. MORENO, University of Pennsylvania
207	RANDALL MURCH, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
208	MURIEL E. POSTON, Skidmore College
209	JAMES REICHMAN, University of California at Santa Barbara
210	BRUCE W. STILLMAN, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
211	MARC T. TESSIER-LAVIGNE, Genentech, Inc.
212	JAMES TIEDJE, Michigan State University
213	CYNTHIA WOLBERGER, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine
214	
215	
216	<i>Staff</i>
217	
218	FRANCES E. SHARPLES, Director
219	KERRY A. BRENNER, Senior Program Officer
220	ADAM P. FAGEN, Senior Program Officer
221	ANN H. REID, Senior Program Officer
222	MARILEE K. SHELTON-DAVENPORT, Senior Program Officer
223	REBECCA L. WALTER, Senior Program Assistant
224	MERCURY FOX, Program Assistant
225	ANNA FARRAR, Financial Associate
226	

Preface

227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263

The National Research Council of the National Academies convened the Committee on Biomolecular Materials and Processes (BMAP) to assess current work and future promise at the intersection of biology and materials science. The Solid State Sciences Committee of the Board on Physics and Astronomy developed the charge for this study in consultation with the study's sponsors at the Department of Energy and National Science Foundation. The Committee on BMAP was charged to identify the most compelling questions and the emerging scientific opportunities at the interface between biology and condensed matter and materials research, suggest strategies to best meet the identified opportunities, and consider connections to national priorities including healthcare, security, workforce, economic, and other societal needs. The committee did not address tissue engineering in this report as it has been reviewed elsewhere¹ and was considered outside the scope of the committee's charge. The complete charge is reproduced in Appendix A.

The Committee on BMAP is composed of experts from many different areas of biomolecular materials research (see Appendix C for biographical sketches of committee members). The full committee met in person three times (see Appendix B) to address its charge. The committee formed subgroups to study areas in further detail and to develop the text of the final report. At its meetings, the committee heard from experts in the field and from the federal agencies that support BMAP research. Conference calls and email were used to coordinate the work of the committee between meetings. This final report reflects the committee's enthusiasm and excitement for the research opportunities in BMAP.

This report is the product of input from many people. On behalf of the committee, I extend my thanks and appreciation to all who participated in this endeavor. I also thank the speakers who made formal presentations at the committee meetings (Appendix B); those presentations and the ensuing discussions strongly informed the committee's deliberations. In addition, the committee would like to thank the following people for their insight: Ian Anderson, James R. Baker, Jr., Sergey Bezrukov, Mark S. Humayun, Nicholas A. Kotov, Ronald G. Larson, John Miao, Dean A. Myles, Kevin Plaxco, Rudi Podgornik, Clinton Potter, Roger Pynn, Don Rau, David A. Tirrell, Gregory Voth, Karen Wooley, Wenbing Yun, and Joshua Zimmerberg. In particular, Theresa Reineke is thanked for her insight and contribution to the challenges in the area of synthesis.

Finally, I also thank the NRC staff (Natalia Melcer, Adam Fagen, Don Shapero, Frances Sharples, Phillip Long, and Caryn Knutsen) for their guidance and assistance throughout the development of the report.

As chair, I am grateful to the committee members for their wisdom, cooperation, and commitment to ensuring the development of a comprehensive report.

Arup Chakraborty, *Chair*
Committee on BMAP

¹ National Research Council, *Capturing the Full Power of Biomaterials for Military Medicine*, Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press, (2004).

Acknowledgment of Reviewers

264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290

This report has been reviewed in draft form by individuals chosen for their diverse perspectives and technical expertise, in accordance with procedures approved by the National Research Council's (NRC's) Report Review Committee. The purpose of this independent review is to provide candid and critical comments that will assist the institution in making its published report as sound as possible and to ensure that the report meets institutional standards for objectivity, evidence, and responsiveness to the study charge. The review comments and draft manuscript remain confidential to protect the integrity of the deliberative process. We wish to thank the following individuals for their review of this report:

Robert H. Austin, Princeton University,
William F. Carroll, Jr., Occidental Chemical Corporation,
Robert J. Full, University of California at Berkeley,
Laura L. Kiessling, University of Wisconsin at Madison,
Robert S. Langer, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Monica Olvera de la Cruz, Northwestern University,
Jose N. Onuchic, University of California at San Diego,
Joel M. Schnur, Naval Research Laboratory, and
David A. Tirrell, California Institute of Technology.

Although the reviewers listed above have provided many constructive comments and suggestions, they were not asked to endorse the conclusions or recommendations, nor did they see the final draft of the report before its release. The review of this report was overseen by Peter B. Moore, Yale University. Appointed by the NRC, he was responsible for making certain that an independent examination of this report was carried out in accordance with institutional procedures and that all review comments were carefully considered. Responsibility for the final content of this report rests entirely with the authoring committee and the institution.

Contents

291		
292		
293	Executive Summary	ES-1
294		
295	1. Introduction.....	1-1
296	Challenges and Opportunities	1
297	Unifying Concepts	1
298	Alternative and Renewable Energy.....	1
299	Health and Medicine	2
300	National Security	2
301	Next Generation Bioinspired Materials	2
302	Enabling Tools.....	3
303		
304	2. Understanding Biomolecular Processes: Toward Principles that Govern Biomaterial Design....	2-1
305	2.1 Introduction and Impact.....	1
306	2.2 Multiple Cooperative Interactions	2
307	2.2.1 Cells	2
308	2.2.2 Cell-mimetic Materials	3
309	2.3 Processes Far From Equilibrium.....	4
310	2.4 Design Principles for Mechanics	5
311	2.5 Self-, Directed, and Spatio-Temporal Assembly	7
312	2.5.1 Hierarchical Self-Assembly	9
313	2.5.2 Complex Spatio-Temporal Assembly.....	9
314	2.6 Self-replicating, Self-healing, and Evolving Materials.....	11
315	2.6.1 Self-Replicating Materials	11
316	2.6.2 Self-Healing	12
317	2.6.3 Materials that Evolve	12
318	2.7 Opportunities and Challenges	13
319	2.8 Suggested Reading.....	14
320		
321	3. Advanced Functional Materials.....	3-1
322	3.1 Introduction and Impact.....	1
323	3.2 Alternative and Renewable Energy from Biomolecular Materials and Processes.....	2
324	3.2.1 Biofuels and Processes.....	2
325	3.2.2 Biomimetic Photosynthesis.....	5
326	3.2.3 Biomolecular Motors	8
327	3.3 Advanced Functional Materials in Health and Medicine.....	12
328	3.3.1 Medical Diagnostics.....	12
329	3.3.2 Targeted Drug Delivery, Targeted Imaging Systems, Targeted Radiation.....	14
330	3.3.3 New Neural Prosthetics.....	16
331	3.4 Advanced Functional Materials and National Security	18
332	3.4.1 Environmental Surveillance and Biosensing	18
333	3.4.2 Functional Biomaterials for Decontamination and Protection.....	19
334	3.5 Next Generation Bioinspired Materials	19
335	3.5.1 Supermaterials from Biology	19
336	3.5.2 Materials that Mimic Proteins and Membranes	25
337	3.6 Opportunities and Challenges	27
338	3.7 Suggested Reading.....	30
339		
340	4. Probes and Tools for Biomolecular Materials Research	4-1

341	4.1 Introduction and Impact.....	1
342	4.2 Three-Dimensional Electron Microscopy.....	2
343	4.3 Hyper-Resolution Optical Microscopy.....	4
344	4.4 X-Ray Methods.....	5
345	4.4.1 X-Ray Tomography.....	6
346	4.4.2 X-Ray Diffraction.....	7
347	4.4.3 Small Angle X-Ray Scattering.....	8
348	4.5 Neutron Scattering.....	8
349	4.6 Single Molecule Probes.....	11
350	4.6.1 Single Molecule Instrumentation.....	12
351	4.7 Theory and Computation.....	15
352	4.7.1 Modeling and Computer Simulation.....	16
353	4.7.2 Access to High Performance Computing Environments.....	19
354	4.7.3 Informatics/Data Mining.....	19
355	4.7.4 Public Domain Codes.....	20
356	4.7.5 The Need for Theoretical Advances.....	20
357	4.8 Synthesis of Biomolecular Materials.....	21
358	4.8.1 Synthetic Methods for Materials Synthesis.....	22
359	4.8.2 Materials Synthesis Using Nature’s Machinery.....	23
360	4.8.3 Materials Synthesis Using Nature’s Toolbox.....	23
361	4.8.4 Macromolecular Assembly Routes.....	24
362	4.9 Opportunities and Challenges.....	27
363	4.10 Suggested Reading.....	28
364		
365	5. Infrastructure and Resources.....	5-1
366	5.1 Education and Training.....	1
367	5.2 Mechanisms for Bridging Biological and Materials Sciences.....	3
368	5.3 Shared Resources and Essential Facilities.....	5
369	5.4 Partnership Among Industry, Academia, and National Laboratories.....	7
370	5.5 Commercialization of Biomolecular Materials.....	7
371	5.5.1 Biomolecular Properties, Processes, and Products.....	8
372	5.5.2 Manufacturability and Production.....	8
373	5.5.3 Specific Biomolecular Material Product Areas.....	9
374	5.5.4 Challenges and Opportunities in Commercialization.....	10
375		
376	6. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	6-1
377	Supporting Interdisciplinary Research.....	1
378	Developing and Evaluating Programs for Interdisciplinary Education.....	2
379	Emphasizing Both Fundamental and Applied Sciences.....	3
380	Developing and Evaluating National Facilities Based on Mid-Range Instruments.....	4
381		
382	Appendixes	
383		
384	A. Statement of Task.....	A-1
385	B. Committee Meeting Agendas.....	B-1
386	C. Glossary.....	C-1
387	D. Biographies of Committee Members.....	D-1
388		

****PREPUBLICATION DRAFT ** WORDING SUBJECT TO EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS****